

validate the action taken at the time when the name *Chasmagnathus* de Haan was placed on the *Official List of Generic Names in Zoology* by:—

- (1) using its Plenary Powers to suppress the specific name *convexus* Quoy & Gaimard, 1825, as published in the combination *Ocypode convexus*, for the purposes both of the Law of Priority and of the Law of Homonymy;
- (2) placing the under-mentioned specific name, as validated under the Plenary Powers under (1) above on the *Official List of Specific Names in Zoology*:—*convexa* de Haan, [1835], as published in the combination *Ocypode (Chasmagnathus) convexa* (specific name of type species of *Chasmagnathus* de Haan, [1833]);
- (3) placing on the *Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology* the specific name specified in (1) above as there suppressed under the Plenary Powers.

**SUPPORT FOR DR. JAANUSSON'S PROPOSAL REGARDING THE
GENERIC NAME " PTYCHOPYGE " ANGELIN, 1854
(CLASS TRILOBITA)**

By **GUNNAR HENNINGSMOEN**

(Paleontological Museum, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway)

and

LEIF STØRMER

(Paleontological Institute, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway)

(Commission Reference : Z.N.(S.) 997)

(For the application in this case see *Bull. zool. Nomencl.* 11 : 359-361)

(Letter dated 7th October 1955)

We are aware that Brögger (1886) unfortunately did not definitely state that *Ptychopyge angustifrons* was the type species of *Ptychopyge*, and that the first legal designation of a type species was that of Vogdes (1890), who selected *Pt. app(l)anata* as type species.

As pointed out by V. Jaanusson, it would be most unfortunate if *Pt. applanata*, apparently a *nomen dubium*, should be regarded as type species. We strongly support Jaanusson's proposal to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature of recognizing *Pt. angustifrons* as the type species of the genus *Ptychopyge*.